US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

CHILD-RESISTANT PACKAGING REVIEW Technical Review Branch

IN <u>05/2</u>	4/2000	OUT <u>06/05</u>	<u>/2000 </u>
Reviewed by Rosalind L.	Gross Ro	1aline 252	oro
EPA Reg. No. or File Sym		발전시험적 하면 하실 시간 사람들은 것 같아 된다.	
DP Barcode <u>D266191</u>			
EPA Petition or EUP No.			
Date Division Received_	04/11/2000	en de la composition de la composition La composition de la	
Type Product(s) Insectici	<u>de</u>		
Data Accession No(s)		Managara da da	
Product Mgr./Chemical R	eview Mgr/Cor	ntact Person PM 03	Division RD
Product Name(s) Comb	oat Small Road	ch Bait F .03	
Company Name(s) Comb	oat Insect Con	trol Systems	
Submission Purpose	Examine cha are still valid	, =	ain if CRP Certifications
Active Ingredient(s), PC of	ode, & %	Fipronil	-

Summary of Findings

A worst case calculation was done based on the following assumptions: the percentage of Fipronil per bait station is present at the upper certified limit (0.043%); the maximum amount of bait per bait station is 1.5g (based on previous CRP certifications and CRP studies reviewed). The results of the worst case calculation are that the amount of Fipronil per bait station would increase to 0.65 mg per bait station and a failure (28.5 mg Fipronil) would decrease to 44 bait stations. A child failure is defined as access to a toxic or harmful amount or 9 bait stations, whichever number is lower. In conclusion, the definition of a child failure would remain at 9 bait stations for CRP testing and the CRP certifications would remain acceptable. The registrant should be advised that changes in the amount of active ingredient have the potential to alter the CRP status of the bait station.